Transfer of EEs AEs and JEs in CPWD

1842. SHRI RAJ NATH SINGH: Will the Ministet of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Assistant Engineers (AEs) Junior Engineers (J. Es.) and Executive Engineers (E.Es. Civil and Electrical separately) of Central Public Works Department (C.P.W.D.) posted in Delhi for more than ten years;

(b) by when Government propose to transfer those officials outside Delhi who have completed their tenure in Delhi;

(c) the number of A.Es., J.Es. and E.Es. posted to the same Enquiry/Office/ Circle in Delhi for more than five years;

(d) whether Government propose to transfer the above officials to other Enquiry/Office after the completion of three years as per the prescribed rules; and

(e) if so, by when these transfers are libily to be made and if not. reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI P. K. THUNGON) (a) The details are as under:—

	Civil	Electrical
(i) Executive Engineer	2 Nos.	2 Nos.
(ii) Assistant Engineers	152 Nos.	106 Nos.
(iii) Junior Engineers	341 Nos.	127 Nos.

(b) Rotational transfers at the level of Junior Engineers/Assistance Engineers/ Executive Engineers aire effected every year. However, transfers are made depending upon the availability of posts outside Delhi, exigencies of work, overall economy measures and also keeping in view the personal problems of the employees.

(c) The details are as under:—

	Civil	Electrical
(i) Executive Engineers	..	..
(ii) Assistant Engineers	47 Nos.	33 Nos.
(iii) Junior Engineers	146 Nos.	233 Nos.

(d) and (e) No such period of three years for transfer from one Enquiry/Office to another Enquiry/Office has been prescribed in the rules. However, such transfers are made as per Administrative requirement and exigencies of work.

Registration for Plots under Rohini Scheme (LIG) 1981

1843. SHRI RAJ NATH SINGH: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of registrants for plots under 'Rohini Scheme (LIG) 1981:

(b) the number of registrants who have been allotted plots under this scheme till date; and

(c) by when the remaining registrants would be allotted plots and the reasons for inordinate delay for allotting the plots to them?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) DDA has reported that 38105 registrants got themselves registered for allotment of plots in LIG category under Rohini Residential Scheme, 1981.

(b) 17109 registrants have been allotted plots under various draws held till date.

(c) DDA has chalked out a time bound programme to accommodate all remaining registrants by the end of the 8th Five Year plan subject to availability of land, funds and infrastructure facilities.

Face-Lift of Government Quarters on BKS Marg

1844. SHRI VIRENDRA KATARIA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether C.P.W.D, had carried out extensive reparis work of some blocks including block No. 18 on Baba Kharak Singh Marg, New Delhi during the year 1993-94 thereby defacing the outer outlook of the blocks;

(b) whether the repaired blocks have not been white washed to give them a face lift; and

(c) if so, by when the work giving face-lift to the effected blocks is likeiy, to be done?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) Yes, Sir. The repair works have been carried out in stair cases of block Nos. 18 and 28, and as such the question of defacing does not arise.

(b) The repaired blocks are yet to be white washed.

(c) The white washing work is likely to be completed by the end of March. 1995.

Maintenance of CPF Accounts in 'P' Division of CPWD

1845. SHRI RAM NATH KOVIND: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that GPF account in 'P' Division of C.P.W.D, is not being maintained properly;

(b) whether it is also a fact tha GPF account at the credit of subscribers in the erstwhile 'D' Division was not properly transferred after their transfer to 'P' Division;

(c) what is the number of representations received by Government during last six months for regularising their GPF records; and

(d) what is the number of those employees whose GPF records have been rectified and what are the reasons for not correcting the GPF records of the remaining employees?